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#### Abstract

Multidimensional scaling is a technique for visualization and exploratory analysis of multidimensional data aiming to discover a structure of sets of objects using information on similarities/dissimilarities between those objects. A difficult global optimization problem should be solved to minimize the error of visualization. A hybrid optimization algorithm has been constructed combining evolutionary global search with efficient local descent. A parallel version of the proposed optimization algorithm is implemented to enable solution of large scale problems in acceptable time. In the present paper we investigated the efficiency of the parallel version of the algorithm on PC clusters and computational grids.
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## 1. Introduction

Multidimensional scaling (MDS) is an exploratory technique for data analysis [1, 3], widely usable in different applications, e.g. psychometrics, market analysis, data mining, visualization of general multidimensional data, visualization of observation points in interactive global optimization.

The points $\mathbf{x}_{i}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{i 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{i m}\right), i=1, \ldots, n$ representing $n$ objects in $m$-dimensional embedding space should be found fitting pairwise distances of points to given pairwise dissimilarities of the objects ( $\delta_{i j}, i, j=1, \ldots, n$ ). It is supposed that dissimilarities are symmetric $\left(\delta_{i j}=\delta_{j i}\right)$ and ( $\delta_{i i}=0$ ).

The implementation of a MDS method is reduced to minimization of a fitness criterion, e.g. the so called STRESS function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{i<j}^{n}\left(d\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)-\delta_{i j}\right)^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{n}\right)$ is a vector aggregating coordinates of points $\mathbf{x}_{i}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{i 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{i m}\right), d\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)$ denotes the distance between the points $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{j}$.

The distances may be estimated using different norms in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. Most often a Minkowski distance is used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}\right)=\left(\left.\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|x_{i k}-x_{j k}\right|^{r}\right|^{\frac{1}{r}} .\right. \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formula (2) defines Euclidean distances when $r=2$, and city-block distances when $r=1$. The points $\mathbf{x}_{i}$
defined by means of minimization of (1), but using different distances in the embedding space, can be interpreted as different nonlinear projections of the objects from the original space to the embedding space. When objects of problem are defined by multidimensional points, dissimilarities can be found estimating pairwise distances of points in the original multidimensional space.

MDS is a difficult global optimization problem. Although STRESS is defined by an analytical formula, which seems rather simple, its minimization is difficult. The function normally has many local minima. When city-block distances are used, STRESS can be non differentiable even at the minimum point [10]. The minimization problem is high dimensional (number of variables is $N=n \times m$ ) global optimization problem.

When computing power of usual computers is not sufficient to solve a problem, the high performance parallel computers, clusters of computers and computational grids may be helpful. An algorithm is more applicable in case its parallel implementation is available, because larger practical problems may be solved by means of parallel computation. Because of this, implementation of parallel algorithms and investigation of their efficiency on PC clusters and computational grids are considered.

## 2. Evolutionary Algorithm for Multidimensional Scaling

As it was shown in $[6,7,8]$, the hybrid algorithm combining evolutionary global search with efficient local descent is the most reliable though the most time consuming method for MDS with Euclidean distances. Therefore a similar hybrid algorithm has been constructed.

The idea is to maintain a population of best (with respect to STRESS value) solutions whose crossover can generate better solutions. The size of population $p$ is a parameter of the algorithm. An initial population is generated by performing local searches from $p$ starting points that are the best (with respect to STRESS value) from a sample of $N_{\text {init }}$ randomly generated points. The population evolves generating offspring of two randomly selected parents. Two point crossover is used. Adaptation of the offspring to environment is modelled by local search. The fitness of the offspring is defined by the locally optimal value found by local descent. Elitist survival is performed: if the offspring is better fitted than the worst individual of the current population then the later is replaced by the offspring. Minimization terminates after predetermined computing time $t_{c}$.
The structure of the hybrid algorithm with parameters ( $p, N_{\text {init }}, t_{c}$ ):

```
Generate the initial population:
    Generate N Ninit random points.
    Perform search for local minima
        starting from the best p points.
    Form the initial population from the
        found local minimizers.
while not time limit }\mp@subsup{t}{c}{}\mathrm{ exceeded
    Select two uniformly random parents
        from the current population.
    Produce an offspring by means of 2-
        point crossover and local
        minimization.
    if it is better than the worst
        individual of the current
        population,
    then replace the offspring with the
        latter.
```

The upper level genetic algorithm ensures globality of search while at the lower level local descent ensures efficient search for local minima. Well known direction set algorithm by Powell has been used for local search for MDS problems with Euclidean distances. In the case of city-block distances STRESS is a piecewise quadratic (over simply defined polyhedra) function of $\mathbf{x}$ [10]; a special local search method has been proposed taking into account the latter property. It has been shown experimentally that these local search strategies perform best in MDS with Euclidean and city-block metrics.

Parallel version of genetic algorithm with multiple populations [2] has been developed. Communications between processors have been kept to minimum to
enable implementation of the algorithm on clusters of personal computers and computational grids. Each processor runs the same genetic algorithm with different sequences of random numbers. This is ensured by initializing different seeds for random number generators in each processor. The results of different processors are collected when search is finished after predefined time. To make parallel implementation as much portable as possible the general message-passing paradigm of parallel programming has been chosen. A standardized message-passing communication protocol MPI is used for communication between parallel processors.

## 3. Experimental investigation in grid environment

Grid computing [4] is emerging as new paradigm for distributed problem solving for a wide range of application domains. Supercomputers, high performance computing clusters, clusters of personal computers are distributed and independent but, on the other hand, form a large scale dynamic grid environment.

When assigning tasks onto the grid computing resources, users can perform manual look-up and select resources or let the central scheduler select available resources. Since grid environment is often dynamic, the same computational conditions will never occur.

### 3.1. Test bed

We have used standard C++ and MPI library to implement the parallel algorithm for multidimensional scaling. The algorithm is available under BalticGrid Special Interest Groups portal (http://sig.balticgrid. org).

Test bed, used in our experiments, is a heterogeneous cluster environment. It contains eight highperformance clusters. Their configuration is shown in Table 1. gLite middleware [5] is installed on each cluster.

### 3.2. Experimental investigation

To exclude the impact of number of objects and of used metric, a relative error

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=\sqrt{\frac{S(\mathbf{x})}{\sum_{i<j}^{n} \delta_{i j}^{2}}}
$$

is used for comparison. Performance of the global optimization algorithm for multidimensional scaling is measured using the best estimate of the global minimum $f^{*}$ in 100 runs, and the reliability is measured as percentage of runs (perc) when the estimate of the global minimum differs from $f^{*}$ by less than $10^{-4}$.

Table 1. Summary of the grid environment considered for experimental investigation of the algorithm for multidimensional scaling

| Cluster | Number of <br> CPU(s) | CPU Type | Memory |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KTU-BG- <br> GLITE | 41 | Intel PIII <br> 700 MHz | 5.24 Gb |
| KTU-ELEN- <br> LCG2 | 10 | Intel P4 <br> 3 GHz | 3 Gb |
| SU-GRID | 15 | Intel P4 <br> 1.7 GHz | 7.68 Gb |
| VU-MIF-LCG2 <br> (grid6) | 112 | AMD <br> Opteron <br> 2.4 GHz | 224 Gb |
| CYFRONET- <br> LCG2 | 264 | Intel Xeon <br> 2.8 GHz | 264 Gb |
| VDU-IF-LCG2 | 22 | Intel P4 3 <br> GHz | 12 Gb |
| VU-MIF-LCG2 <br> (grid5) | 25 | Intel PIII <br> 1 GHz | 25 Gb |
| RTUETF | 20 | AMD <br> Opteron <br> 2 GHz | 20 Gb |

### 3.3. Data sets

Several sets of multidimensional points corresponding to well understand geometric objects were used for the experimental investigation. We want to choose difficult test problems, i.e. difficult to visualize geometric objects. The data with desired properties correspond to the multidimensional objects equally extending in all dimensions of the original space, e.g. sets of vertices of multidimensional cubes and simplices. Dissimilarity between vertices is measured by the distance in the original vector space defined by its metric. Global optimization problems of different difficulty can be constructed by defining dimensionality of the original spaces. Below we use shorthand 'cube' and 'simplex' for sets of their vertices.

The number of vertices of multidimensional cube is $n=2^{\text {dim }}$, and the dimensionality of global minimization problem is $N=2^{\text {dim }}+1$. The coordinates of $i$-th vertex of a dim-dimensional cube are equal either to 0 or to 1 , and they are defined by binary code of $i=1$, ..., $n$.

Vertices of multidimensional simplex can be defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{i j}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i=j+1, \\
0, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases} \\
& i=1, \ldots, \operatorname{dim}+1, j=1, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Dimensionality of this global minimization problem is $N=2 \times(\operatorname{dim}+1)$.

### 3.4. Results

For experiments a parallel version of the hybrid algorithm was used with parameters chosen according to the results of [9]. The experimental data obtained in the grid environment are presented in Table 2, where proc denotes the number of processors. Performance improvement is significant, especially comparing single processor results with ten processors results. Dimensionality of the original spaces essentially influences the complexity of the corresponding global optimization problem.

The experimental results show variable performance depending on the cluster used. However one may expect more variable performance: the performance of the algorithm on a cluster of PCs with Intel P4 3GHz CPUs (KTU-ELEN-LCG2, VDU-IF-LCG2) is just a bit better than that on a cluster of PCs with Intel PIII $700 \mathrm{MHz}-1 \mathrm{GHz}$ CPUs (VU-MIF-LCG2 (grid5), KTU-BG-GLITE).

Although improvement of reliability using parallel computation is significant, it is difficult to judge about the efficiency of parallelization. The efficiency of parallelization of the algorithm is investigated by comparing the performance when total computing time is the same for all cluster configurations: $t_{c}=10 \mathrm{~s} /$ (number of processors).

Such results on supercomputer of grid environment are presented in Table 3. The percentage of runs finding the best known solution (perc) when total computing time of the algorithm is $t_{c}=10 \mathrm{~s} /($ number of processors) decreases a bit or remains approximately the same when the number of processors is increased.

## 4. Conclusions

The considered algorithm combining evolutionary global search with properly chosen local minimization is well scalable with respect to parallelization.

Performance improvement using parallel version of the algorithm is significant for all considered problems comparing with the performance on single processor.

Performance of the parallel algorithm depends on the computer cluster used. Therefore it is not always good to rely on the central scheduler of grid resources. When assigning tasks onto the grid computing resources users can perform manual look-up and selection.
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Table 2. Performance of the parallel algorithm for multidimensional scaling on grid clusters. City-block distances, data sets of multidimensional cubes are used. Algorithm parameters: $p=60, N_{\text {init }}=6000, t_{c}=10$, number of algorithm execution $=100$

|  | dim | $f^{*}$ | 1 proc | 2proc | 3 proc | 4proc | 5proc | 6 proc | 7proc | 8proc | 9 proc | 10proc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 0.224472 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 4 | 0.296531 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 5 | 0.331341 | 30 | 48 | 60 | 78 | 85 | 87 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 97 |
|  | 6 | 0.351327 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 16 | 16 | 23 | 27 | 29 | 33 | 38 |
|  | 3 | 0.224472 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 4 | 0.296531 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 5 | 0.331337 | 74 | 47 | 56 | 70 | 91 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 91 | 95 |
|  | 6 | 0.351388 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 51 | 53 | 63 | 68 | 27 | 34 |
|  | 3 | 0.224472 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 4 | 0.296531 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 5 | 0.331334 | 62 | 73 | 85 | 86 | 93 | 98 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 6 | 0.351401 | 14 | 13 | 21 | 32 | 35 | 49 | 52 | 59 | 59 | 62 |
|  | 3 | 0.224472 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 4 | 0.296531 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 5 | 0.331335 | 82 | 95 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 6 | 0.351366 | 18 | 21 | 31 | 42 | 52 | 63 | 68 | 75 | 84 | 85 |
|  | 3 | 0.224472 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | $100$ | $100$ | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 4 | 0.296531 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 5 | 0.331337 | 60 | 88 | 92 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 6 | 0.351375 | 13 | 22 | 32 | 44 | 52 | 60 | 62 | 69 | 75 | 77 |
|  | 3 | 0.224472 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 4 | 0.296531 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | $5$ | $0.331334$ | $59$ | 77 | 76 | 92 | 90 | 97 | 94 | 97 | 99 | 90 |
|  | 6 | 0.351401 | 14 | 12 | 21 | 33 | 23 | 47 | 24 | 56 | 65 | 31 |
|  | 3 | 0.224472 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 4 | 0.296531 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 5 | 0.33134 | 32 | 61 | 61 | 72 | 83 | 97 | 83 | 98 | 96 | 99 |
|  | 6 | 0.351449 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 14 | 23 | 25 | 38 | 36 | 37 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 畾 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{\mid} \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 0.224472 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 4 | 0.296531 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 5 | 0.331337 | 61 | 86 | 95 | 100 | 98 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 61 |
|  | 6 | 0.351381 | 13 | 22 | 27 | 41 | 44 | 55 | 62 | 66 | 73 | 13 |

Table 3. Performance of the parallel algorithm for multidimensional scaling on VU-MIF-LCG2 (grid6) cluster. Algorithm parameters: $p=60, N_{\text {init }}=6000, t_{c}=10 /$ (number of processors), number of algorithm execution $=100$

| dim | $f^{*}$ | 1 proc | 2 proc | 4proc | 5 proc | 7 proc | 8proc | 9proc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euclidean distances, multidimensional cubes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.243852 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 4 | 0.300323 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 5 | 0.332046 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 6 | 0.350552 | 86 | 86 | 94 | 89 | 94 | 94 | 97 |
| 7 | 0.362889 | 48 | 69 | 91 | 93 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| 8 | 0.382309 | 47 | 69 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| city-block distances, multidimensional cubes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0.224472 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 4 | 0.296531 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 5 | 0.331364 | 82 | 78 | 81 | 82 | 74 | 71 | 74 |
| 6 | 0.351512 | 16 | 12 | 19 | 16 | 23 | 21 | 16 |
| Euclidean distances, multidimensional simplices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 0.359307 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 17 | 0.362790 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 18 | 0.365953 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 19 | 0.368722 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 20 | 0.371271 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 31 | 0.388863 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| city-block distances, multidimensional simplices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 0.348424 | 75 | 95 | 100 | 94 | 68 | 69 | 68 |
| 17 | 0.352688 | 58 | 82 | 47 | 38 | 28 | 28 | 26 |
| 18 | 0.356216 | 59 | 82 | 26 | 18 | 11 | 17 | 10 |
| 19 | 0.359641 | 38 | 28 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 20 | 0.362490 | 29 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| 31 | 0.382309 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 19 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
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